

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE 09/274,953 03/23/1999 ITZIK BEN-BASSAT 3055.00068 9162 EXAMINER 22907 09/10/2004 7590 **BANNER & WITCOFF** HUYNH, SON P 1001 G STREET N W ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER **SUITE 1100** WASHINGTON, DC 20001 2611

DATE MAILED: 09/10/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<u></u>		
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Advisory Action	09/274,953	BEN-BASSAT ET AL.
,	Examiner	Art Unit
	Son P Huynh	2611
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence address
THE REPLY FILED 12 July 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to average final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	roid abandonment of this applica a timely filed amendment whicl	ation. A proper reply to a n places the application in
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]	
a) The period for reply expires <u>03</u> months from the mailing dat b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire le ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the context of the conte	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF The date on which the petition under 37 CF of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the mail	g date of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP R 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension unt of the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action; or
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF	•	
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:	
(a) ⊠ they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (s	see NOTE below);
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	•	,
(c) they are not deemed to place the application ir issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or simplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without cancelli	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claims.
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.		
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ion(s):	
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed amendment
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because:	reconsideration has been consi	dered but does NOT place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY t	o issues which were newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendments explanation of how the new or amended claims we		
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		
Claim(s) allowed:		
Claim(s) objected to:		
Claim(s) rejected: 1-4 and 6-23.		
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) appr	oved or b) disapproved by t	ne Examiner.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	
10. Other:		

Continuation of 2. NOTE: Amendments to claims such as "wherein transmitting the signal comprises transmitting the signal to a satellite in claim 15 change the scope of the claims and require further consideration.

Applicant argues Naiff does not teach circuit board for performing the function of reception of the data and transmission of radio frequency signals responsive thereto. This argument is respectfully traversed.

Naiff discloses transceiver 116 receives television and two-way control signals from the PC via a similar (e.g., RF path), a simple antenna which is coupled to a transceiver on the television interface card 40 installed in the PC 20. The transceiver provided on the television on the television interface card will be the counter part of transceiver 116 (col. 9, lines 18-27 and figure 6). Naiff further discloses communication with Internet and/or the television provider can be accommodated by an RF return path via coaxial or optical fiber cables 16, 10 (col. 6, lines 29-33) and the cables 16,10 are coupled to a satellite transceiver antenna (figure 4). The card comprises TV port 60 for receiving and transmitting RF signal between PC 20 and user interface module 24 (col. 7, lines 60-65), a telephone return port 64 enable a subscription television service provider (e.g. cable, satellite or MMDS) to poll the user's PC via a telephone connection in order to obtain billing information and/or download new firmware to the television interface card and/or new application software to the user's PC. Polling can alternatively be implemented using an RF return path to the subscription television service provider (col. 8, lines 6-13). Clearly, the card receives the data (via TV port 60, cable TV 62 or telephone return 64) and transmits radio frequency signals (via TV port 60 or telephone return 64) as claimed in independent claims 1, 6, 11. The data radio frequency signals are transmitted to a satellite (e.g. using RF return path to the subscription television service provider).

For reason given above, rejection on the claimed is maintained as discussed in the Final Office Action..

VIVEK SRIVASTAVA PRIMARY EXAMINER



COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
P.O. BOX 1450
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313-1450
www.usplo.gov

Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (37 CFR 1.121)

37 CFR correcte	1.121. In ed section	document filed on is considered non-compliant because it has failed to meet the requirements of n order for the amendment document to be compliant, correction of the following item(s) is required. Only the n of the non-compliant amendment document must be resubmitted (in its entirety), e.g., the entire of the claims" section of applicant's amendment document must be re-submitted. 37 CFR 1.121(h).
THE FO		NG CHECKED (X) ITEM(S) CAUSE THE AMENDMENT DOCUMENT TO BE NON-COMPLIANT: adments to the specification: A. Amended paragraph(s) do not include markings. B. New paragraph(s) should not be underlined.
		C. Other
	2. Abstr □ □	A. Not presented on a separate sheet. 37 CFR 1.72. B. Other
3. Amendments to the drawings:		
	cex.	A. A complete listing of <u>all</u> of the claims is not present. B. The listing of claims does not include the text of all pending claims (including withdrawn claims) C. Each claim has not been provided with the proper status identifier, and as such, the individual status of each claim cannot be identified. Note: the status of every claim must be indicated after its claim number by using one of the following 7 status identifiers: (Original), (Currently amended), (Canceled), (Withdrawn), (Previously presented), (New) and (Not entered). D. The claims of this amendment paper have not been presented in ascending numerical order. E. Other: When Claims are Claims 5,13,14 and 21-23. Unantion of the amendment format required by 37 CFR 1.121, see MPEP Sec. 714 and the USPTO website at gov/web/offices/pac/dapp/opla/preognotice/officeflyer.pdf.
this lett non-ent changes	er to sup rv of the	liant amendment is a PRELIMINARY AMENDMENT , applicant is given ONE MONTH from the mail date of ply the corrected section which complies with 37 CFR 1.121. Failure to comply with 37 CFR 1.121 will result in preliminary amendment and examination on the merits will commence without consideration of the proposed reliminary amendment(s). This notice is not an action under 35 U.S.C. 132, and this ONE MONTH time limit lie.
since the	ne amend IONTH f	liant amendment is a reply to a NON-FINAL OFFICE ACTION (including a submission for an RCE), and ment appears to be a bona fide attempt to be a reply (37 CFR 1.135(c)), applicant is given a TIME PERIOD of from the mailing of this notice within which to re-submit the corrected section which complies with 37 CFR 1.121 abandonment. EXTENSIONS OF THIS TIME PERIOD ARE AVAILABLE UNDER 37 CFR 1.136(a).
respon	mendmer se to a fi of the ame	nt is a reply to a FINAL REJECTION , this form may be an attachment to an Advisory Action. The period for nal rejection continues to run from the date set in the final rejection, and is not affected by the non-compliant endment.
Legal I	nstrumen	$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{N. Sauwor} & (703) 306 - 2941 \\ \text{ts Examiner (LIE)} & \text{Telephone No.} \end{array}$